

0957-4166(93)E0029-V

Synthesis of (R, R) and (S, S) Bicyclo[3.3.0] octane-2,6-dione Interactions Between Non-Conjugated Chromophores

Joëlle Pérard-Viret, André Rassat^{*}.

URA CNRS 1679, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Département de chimie 24 rue Lhomond 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France.

Abstract: Optically pure bicyclo(3.3.0]octane-2,6-dione is easily obtained with a yield of 4% for each enantiomer from 1,5-cyclooctadiene in 5 steps, by resolution of the intermediate diol with menthyloxyacetic acid. Its CD is 60 % larger than twice the CD of a corresponding monoketone indicating interactions between non-conjugated chromophores.

Interactions between non conjugated chromophores have been characterized in bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,6-dione 1 by ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)¹. Similar interactions have also been observed by chiroptical methods, optical rotatory dispersion (ORD)² and circular dichroism $(CD)^3$, when the Cotton effect ($\Delta \varepsilon$ in CD or amplitude a in ORD) is larger than the sum of the Cotton effects of the corresponding monoketonic moeities², the UV spectrum being only weakly perturbed. Since diketone 1 has C_2 symmetry, it may be expected that the previously reported interactions could also be observed by chiroptical methods.

Optically active diketone 1 and monoketonic derivatives 2 have been prepared⁴⁻⁶ in various degrees of optical purity and their absolute configuration established by chemical correlation⁷, NMR⁶ and CD⁵.

 $[(15,55) 1: 88\%$ ee, $[\alpha]_D$ (corr. for 100% ee) = +401 (c=2, EtGH)⁴; (1R,5R) 2a: 100% ee, $[\alpha]_D = -105$ (c = 3, CHCl₃)⁵; 76% ee, [a]_Dcorr. = -87 (c-3, CDCl₃)⁴; (1S,2R,5S) 2b: 99% ee, [a]_D - +103 (c-1.4, CHCl₃)⁵].

Their ORD data have been published^{3,4}. As shown in figure 1, the ORD of diketone 1 (1S,5S)⁴ (corrected for 100% optical purity) is larger than twice the ORD of monoketone 2a (1R,5R) (optically pure or corrected to 100% optical purity). Unfortunately, this data does not permit the determination of the corresponding amplitude a.

Figure 1: Optical rotatory dispersion of 1 (EtOH) : $0 - 0 - 0$ (ref. 3) 2a (CDCl₃) : $\bullet - \bullet - \bullet$ (ref. 3) 2a (CHCl₂) : Δ - Δ - Δ (ref. 4) (corrected for 100% optical purity)

Since enantiomerically pure diketone 1 could be a useful synthon⁸⁻¹¹, we thought it would be worthwhile to develop an expedient chemical way to obtain both enantiomers. This could allow us to measure **its** CD **and compare it** to the CD **of monoketonic derivatives. A combination of several reported** procedures was choosen^{10,12,13} (alternatively 1 is accessible via an other synthesis¹⁴) :

Thus, 1,5-cyclooctadiene 3 (6.6g) was treated for 36 hours with catalytic amounts of Pd(OAc)₂ (4COmg, **0.02 eq)** in the presence of 1M Pb(OAc)4 (39g) in acetic acid at room temperature. The main product was the diendo diastereoisomer 4a (8.5g 63%, colourless crystals, from pentane, mp 40°C, lit⁹ 36*C). Saponification of 4a (1.43g **in 5 ml** of M&H) (KOH:MeOH 1.33M (4 ml) , 45min O'C, then 30min 20°C) gave 0.8g of the diendo diol 4b (90%, colourless oil)¹¹, 0.85g oxidized to dione 1 (0.6g, 70%) (Jones reagent (2.7M), acetone (20ml), 1h 0°C, 15h rt) (mp 45°C, lit¹²45-46°C). The use of palladium acetate suppresses the formation of 6-exo-chloro-2-endo-acetoxy{3.3.0] octane, a by-product of the palladium chloride catalysed reaction¹⁵.

Attempts to resolve directly the dione by inclusion in β -cyclodextrin, in 1,6-bis-(2-chlorophenyl)-l,6-diphenyl-2,4-hexadiyne-1,6-diol, or via covalent derivatives with dimethyl tartrate or (S)-1-amino-2-(methoxymethyl)pyrrolidine (SAMP) failed. The resolution was done on diol 4b. Esterification of 4b $(1.7g)$ with (-) menthyloxyacetic acid $(5.5g)$ (DCC $(5.8g)/DMAP$ $(0.3g)$, diethyl ether, 2h O"C, 15h rt) (scheme 1) gave a mixture **of the** two **diastereoisomers** SA and 5B (5g, 78%, after chromatography on $SiO₂$, pentane/ether (8:2)). After two recrystallisations in pentane, an optically pure product¹⁶ 5A (15%) was obtained. Its optical purity (99%) was confirmed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)¹⁷, Saponification of 5A (0.35g in 2ml McOH) (KOH/McOH (0.34 M, 3ml), 90%), gives (1S,2R,5S,6R) **4b** (85mg), $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = -39$ (c=0.5, CHCl₃), lit⁴ : $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = -48$ (c=2, CHCl₃); vapor phase chromatography of its corresponding o-acetyllactyldiester¹⁸ shows an enantiomeric excess greater than 99%. 4b was oxidized as previously to afford the dione $(1S,5S)$ 1 (50-70%, colourless needles, mp 43°C; $[\alpha]_D = +394$ (c=0.25, EtOH), $[\alpha]_D = +474$ (c=0.05, CH₂Cl₂), lit⁴ $[\alpha]_D = +401$ (c=2, EtOH)). (+) Menthyloxyacetic acid gave the dione (1R,5R) **1**, $[\alpha]_D = -457$ (c=1, CH₂Cl₂).

Monoketonealcohol 2b (endo-2-hydroxy-6-oxobicyclo[3.3.0]octane) was prepared by enzymatic reduction¹⁹ (7 days, rt) with horse liver alcool deshydrogenase (HLADH) (12mg, 2U/mg) of 1 (40mg), 11mg were obtained (30%, colourless oil²⁰, $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = +113$ (c = 0.35, CHCl₃), lit⁶ for (1S,2R,5S) 2b:

 $[\alpha]_D = +103$ (c = 1.38, CHCl₃)). It has a $\Delta \epsilon = 1.4$ M⁻¹cm⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂. Figure 2 shows the octant diagram of $(1S, 2R, 5S)$ 2b obtained after energy minimization²¹ : this leads to a positive Cotton effect in full agreement with experiments.

We are now in a position to compare the circular dichroism of diketone 1 and its monoketonic derivative 2b. The UV absorption of 1 (fig. 3) shows a hypsochromic shift relative to 2b from 302 nm to 292 nm ($\Delta v = 1134 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), ε of the diketone 1 being almost exactly twice that of the monoketone **2b. Its CD** is much larger than twice the CD of 2b (fig. 4). Without any interaction between

chromophores, we could expect a $\Delta \varepsilon$ of 2.8 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for (1S,5S) 1 in CH₂Cl₂. The measured value $(\Delta \epsilon = 4.671 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ is larger by 60%. This large increase can be taken as a manifestation in the CD of the effect previously detected in 1 by ¹³C **NMR¹**. The 1134 cm⁻¹ shift in the UV absorption may be taken as the order of magnitude of the splittings of the n and π^* levels²² in diketone 1. It is probable that a quantitative treatment of these interactions should also take into account the C_2 symmetry of **1.**

Acknowleg~n~s **: We** thank Liliane Leroy (Univ. PARIS 6) for circular **dichroism** measurements, and Claudine Fouquey (Collège de France) for DSC measurements.

References and notes

1. T. Doemer, R. Gleiter, T.A. Robbins, P. Chayangkoon, D.A. Lightner, J. Am. *Chem. Sot.,* 1992, 114, 3235. In fact the NMR effect is much larger than reported : instead of $\Delta\delta = -0.6$ reported between the C₂/C₆ chemical shifts, we found $\Delta \delta = -2.9$, as in J.K. Whitesell, R.S. Matthews, J. Org. Chem, 1977,42, 3878.

2. C. Djerassi, "Optical Rotatory Dispersion", Mc Graw-Hill, 1960, p71.

3. T.A. Robbins, V.V. Toan, J.W. Givens, III, D.A. Lightner, J. Am. Chem. Sot., 1992, 114, 10799.

4. M.A. Djadchenko, V.I. Mel'nikova, K.K. Pivnitsky, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 1986, 56, 2143.

5. J.K. Whitesell, M.A. Minton, S.W. Felman, J. *Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 2193.*

6. Z.F. Xie, H. Suemune, K. Sakai, *Tetrohedron Asymmetiy, 1990, 1,395.*

7. H. Kuritani, Y. Takaska, K. Shingu, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 452.

8. A.A Hagedorn III, D.G. Farnum, J. Org. Chem, 1977, 42, 3765; S.Wawzonek, J. Am. Chem. Soc,

1943, 65, 839; C.T. Blood, R.P. Linstead, *J. Chem. Soc.* 1952, 2255; D.G. Farnum, A.A. Hagedorn

III, Tetrahedron Lett., 1975, 3987; Y Tobe, K. Terashima, Y. Sakai, Y Odaira, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,

1981, 103, 230715; M. Tanaka, H. Suemune, K. Sakai, *Tetrahedron left., 29, 1988, 1733.*

9. M.A. Mc Kervey, P. Vibuljan, G. Ferguson, P.Y. Siew, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1981, 912.

10. K. Yu. Chemyuk, V.I. Mel'nikova, K.K. Pionitsky, Zh. Org. *khim, 1982, 18, 577.*

11. M.A. Djadchenko, K.K. Pivnitsky, F. Theil, H. Schick, *J. Chcm Sac Pukin Trons I, 1989, 2001.*

12. R.N. Moriarty, M.P. Duncan, R.K. Vaid, 0. Prakash, Org. *Synfh.,* 1990, 68, 17.5.

13. P.M. Henry, M. Davies, G. Ferguson, S. PhIllips, R. Restive, J. C.S. *Chem. Comm.,* 1974, 112.

14. L. Ruzicka, A. Borgcs dc Almcida, A. Brack, *Helv. Chin?. Acta,* 1934, 17, 183; H. Quast, R. Janiah, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1991, 1305; T.S. Cantrell, B.L. Strasser, J. Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 670; M. Julia, E. Colomer, An. Quim., 1971, 67, 199; P.H. Mc Cabe, C.R. Nelson, Tetrahedron Lett., 1978,2819; J.K. Crandall, C.P. Mayer, *J. Am. Chem. Sot.,* 1967, 89, 4374.

15. S.K. Chung, A.I. Scott, *TetrohedronLetr., 1975, 49.*

16. 1H NMR **(CDCI,, 250MHz,** d ppm) : 0.8 (m, d, J=7Hz; SH), 0.9 (m, dd, 16H), 1.3 (m, 4H), 1.6 (m, 8H). 1.75(m, 4H), 2.05 (m, 2H), 2.3 (m, 2H), 2.75(m, 2H), 3.15 (m, 2H), 4.l(dd AB J=16Hz), 5.18 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 62.9MHz, d ppm) : 16.22(q), 20.09 (q), 22.2 (q), 22.7 (t), 23.2 (t), 25.4 (d), 31.4 (d), 32.1 (t), 34.3 (0, 39.9 (t), 44.6 (d), 48 (d), 66 (t), 77.4 (d), 80.2 (d), 170.4 (s); F=84.24°C (DSC); $[\alpha]_D = -129.7$ (c=0.15, CH₂Cl₂)

17. J. Jacques, A. Collet, S.H. Wilen, "Enantiomers, Racemates and Resolutions", J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1981, p151.

18. A. Mosandl, M. Gessner, C. Günther, W. Deger, G. Singer, J. High Resolut. Chromatogr. *Chromatogr. Commun., 1987,* 10, 67.

19. D.R. Dodds, J.B. Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Sot.,* 1988, 110, 577.

20. 1% NMR (CDCl3, 62.9MHz, d ppm) : 20.05 (t), 25.15 (t), 35.09 (t), 38.40 (t), 45.73 (d), 50.44 (d), 75.64 (d), 223.69 (s)

21. Quanta 3.3, Silicon Graphics IRIS workstation, Charm, minimization method : Adopted-Basis Newton Raphson.

22. H.D. Martin, B. Mayer, *Angew. Chem. Int.* Ed. Engl., 1983, 22, 283.

(Received in UK 24 September 1993; accepted 16 November 1993)